

# Palladium-Catalyzed Oxidative Olefination of Phenols Bearing Removable Directing Groups under Molecular Oxygen

Bin Liu, <sup>†</sup> Huai-Zhi Jiang, <sup>†</sup> and Bing-Feng Shi\*, <sup>†</sup>, <sup>‡</sup>

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: An efficient Pd(II)-catalyzed oxidative olefination of phenols bearing removable directing groups with molecular oxygen as the sole oxidant has been developed. This reaction protocol provides an efficient and robust synthetic tool for the synthesis of o-alkenyl phenols under mild conditions.

ransition-metal-catalyzed oxidative cross-coupling reactions have emerged as powerful tools for the construction of new C-C and C-heteroatom bonds in terms of atom and step economy. A number of oxidants such as inorganic salts, BQ, DDQ, IBX, and PhI(OAc), have been widely employed in these transformations. However, in order to fulfill the requirements of green chemistry,<sup>2</sup> there is an increasing demand to use oxidants that are environmentally friendly and do not give rise to any waste products. Therefore, molecular oxygen is recognized as the ideal oxidant because it is environmentally friendly, inexpensive, and abundant and has a high efficiency per unit mass of oxidant.3 However, oxidative functionalization of C-H bonds under mild conditions using atmospheric pressure of molecular oxygen as the sole oxidant still remains a very challenging field.4

Fujiwara and Moritani reported the pioneering work on alkenylation of electron-rich arenes with alkenes catalyzed by palladium complexes.<sup>5</sup> Recently, a large variety of oxidative olefinations of aromatic C-H bonds have been reported.6 Alkenylation through the cleavage of two C-H bonds has become an important transformation in organic synthesis. However, in order to control the regioselectivity, directing groups are usually introduced; nevertheless, the practicality might be restricted when the directing groups cannot be easily removed from the products. Therefore, significant efforts have been directed toward the discovery of efficient and removable directing groups.

Phenol derivatives are common and important structural motifs in bioactive natural products and pharmaceuticals.8 Many phenol derivatives, such as phenol esters, <sup>9a,b</sup> phenol carbamates, <sup>9c,d</sup> and 2-phenoxypyridines, <sup>9e,h</sup> have been widely employed in C-H activation. Liu and Loh independently reported Rh(III)-catalyzed olefination of phenol carbamates, 9c,d and more recently, Ackermann reported a ruthenium-catalyzed oxidative alkenylation of phenoxypyridines.9e However, stoichiometric amounts of metal oxidant [2.0 equiv of Cu(OAc)<sub>2</sub>· H<sub>2</sub>O] and additive (0.25 equiv of AgSbF<sub>6</sub>) have to be used in these reactions, which is not synthetically efficient and beneficial from an environmental point of view. You reported an efficient method for the olefination of arenes with 2pyridylmethyl as a removable directing group using oxygen as the oxidant, 10 but external additives such as 2 equiv of KHCO<sub>3</sub> and an amino acid ligand were still needed. Herein we report a palladium-catalyzed oxidative olefination of phenol derivatives with molecular oxygen as the sole oxidant that does not require any other additives. The directing group can be easily removed, providing o-alkenylated phenols (Figure 1).

We initiated our studies with the coupling of 2-phenoxypyridine (1a) and ethyl acrylate using Pd(OAc)<sub>2</sub> (10 mol %) as a catalyst in the presence of an oxidant under atmospheric pressure of O2 at 100 °C. The desired product 2a was isolated in 36% yield with a high level of selectivity when Cu(OAc)<sub>2</sub> was used as the oxidant (mono:di = 11:1; Table 1, entry 1). Switching to a silver oxidant, such as AgTFA, gave a slightly higher yield with more diolefinated product 2a' (45%, mono:di = 3.1:1; entry 2). Encouraged by the result that the yield dropped when the atmosphere of  $O_2$  was replaced by  $N_2$  (entry 3), we began to use molecular oxygen as the sole oxidant. We were delighted to find that the yield improved to 60% with the monoolefinated product 2a produced dominantly (entry 4). A variety of solvents such as DMAc, DMF, and MeNO2 were screened, and the yield improved to 72% when MeNO2 was used as the solvent. However, only moderate selectivity was obtained (entry 7). We recently found that the use of a coordinating solvent such as DMA or DMPU can improve the selectivity. <sup>11</sup> After further optimization, we were pleased to find that the monoolefination of 1a occurred in 73% yield with high

Received: December 10, 2013 Published: January 21, 2014

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Department of Chemistry, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, China

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup>State Key Laboratory of Bioorganic and Natural Products Chemistry, Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai 200032, China

Figure 1. Trasition-metal-catalyzed oxidative olefination of phenol derivatives.

selectivity (mono:di = 13.6:1) when MeNO<sub>2</sub> and DMPU were used together (20:1 v/v) as cosolvents (entry 8). An attempt to conduct the reaction under ambient air led to a reduced yield (48%; entry 9).

With the optimized conditions in hand, we further explored the scope of this oxidative olefination reaction. As shown in Table 2, a wide range of phenol derivatives were compatible with the optimized conditions and reacted efficiently with ethyl acrylate to give the corresponding olefinated products in moderate to high yields. Various methyl- and methoxysubstituted phenols could be olefinated to give the desired products in good yields (entries 1–3 and 5–6). However, the o-methoxyphenol derivative gave a reduced yield (2e, 8%; entry 4), which might be due to weak coordination of the o-methoxy group with palladium. Chloro- and trifluoromethoxy-substituted phenols were also compatible with the optimized conditions, albeit affording the products in reduced yields (entries 7-9). Reasonably, 3,5-dimethylphenol derivative 1j reacted slowly to give the desired product in only 38% yield because of the steric congestion at the ortho position (entry 10). The present reaction protocol was successfully extended to other acrylates. Ethyl acrylate, methyl acrylate, and tert-butyl

acrylate reacted efficiently with **1m** under the optimized reaction conditions to give the corresponding products **2m-o** in good to excellent yields (entries 12–14). Unfortunately, styrene was unreactive under the present reaction conditions (entry 15). The presence of the pyridine directing group and the C–H bond at the *ortho* position were found to be essential for the reaction, since **1n-p** did not give the desired product, as shown at the bottom of Table 2.

The 2-pyridyl group could be easily removed using a previously reported protocol. As shown in Scheme 1, the olefinated product **2c** was deprotected by treating it with methyl trifluoromethanesulfonate (MeOTf) to form the corresponding pyridinium in toluene. Subsequently, the crude pyridinium was added into a refluxing Na/MeOH solution to generate **3c** in 68% yield for two steps. Furthermore, the alkenylated phenol **3c** could be converted into the corresponding *o*-alkylated phenol **4c** in 93% yield by hydrogenation.

Finally, a plausible mechanism for the present palladium(II)-catalyzed oxidative olefination of 2-phenoxypyridine (1a) is proposed on the basis of previous studies (Scheme 2). Coordination of 1a to palladium acetate is followed by C–H activation to form the dimeric palladacycle  $\mathbf{A}$ . Subsequently, the olefin coordinates with palladacycle  $\mathbf{A}$  via ligand exchange. Either the cyclized intermediate  $\mathbf{C}$  or the uncyclized intermediate  $\mathbf{D}$  is formed via olefin insertion, followed by  $\beta$ -hydride elimination to give the desired product  $\mathbf{2a}$  and liberate Pd(0). The Pd(II) is regenerated from the oxidation of Pd(0) by molecular oxygen. DMPU can possibly act as a ligand to improve the monoselectivity and prevent the aggregation of Pd(0).  $^{11}$ 

In conclusion, we have developed a simple and efficient palladium-catalyzed direct C–H olefination of phenol derivatives with a readily removable directing group. This protocol can proceed well with oxygen at atmospheric pressure as the sole oxidant without the need of any other additives, providing an expeditious strategy for the synthesis of *o*-alkenyl or *o*-alkyl phenols.

## **■ EXPERIMENTAL SECTION**

**General Information.** Dioxane was dried by sodium and freshly distilled. The other materials and solvents were purchased from commercial suppliers and used without additional purification. NMR spectra were recorded at 400 MHz (<sup>1</sup>H) and 100 MHz (<sup>13</sup>C) using

Table 1. Optimization of the Reaction Conditions<sup>a</sup>

Entry	Oxidant	T (°C)	Solvent	Yield (%) <sup>d</sup>
$1^b$	$Cu(OAc)_2$	100	1,4-dioxane	36 (11:1)
$2^b$	AgTFA	100	1,4-dioxane	45 (3.1:1)
$3^c$	AgTFA	100	1,4-dioxane	36 (3.5:1)
4	$O_2$ (1 atm)	100	1,4-dioxane	60 (9:1)
5	$O_2$ (1 atm)	100	DMAc	65 (3.3:1)
6	O <sub>2</sub> (1 atm)	100	DMF	61 (7.7:1)
7	$O_2$ (1 atm)	100	$MeNO_2$	72 (5.5:1)
8	$O_2$ (1 atm)	100	$MeNO_2:DMPU = 20:1$	73 (13.6:1)
9	air (1 atm)	100	$MeNO_2:DMPU = 20:1$	48 (16:1)

<sup>a</sup>Reaction conditions: 1a (0.2 mmol), Pd(OAc)<sub>2</sub> (10 mol %), ethyl acrylate (0.4 mmol), and oxidant in 2 mL of solvent at 100 °C for 24 h. <sup>b</sup>Under O<sub>2</sub>. <sup>c</sup>Under N<sub>2</sub>. <sup>d</sup>Yields of isolated products after chromatography. Values in parentheses are mono:di ratios.

Table 2. Pd-Catalyzed Olefination of Phenol Derivatives<sup>a</sup>

Entry	Substrate	Product	Yield (%) <sup>b</sup>	Entry	Substrate	Product	Yield (%) <sup>b</sup>
1	OPy 1b	EtO <sub>2</sub> C OPy	62%	8	OPy CI	EtO <sub>2</sub> C	56% (7:1)
2	OPy 1c	EtO <sub>2</sub> C OPy	88%	9	1i OPy	1i OPy	25%
3	OPy	EtO <sub>2</sub> C OPy	60% (11:1)	10	OCF₃ <b>1j</b> OPy	OCF <b>2j</b> OPy EtO <sub>2</sub> C	<sub>3</sub> 38%
4	OPy OMe	2d OPy EtO <sub>2</sub> C OMe	8%	10	1k OPy	2k OPy EtO <sub>2</sub> C	30%
5	1e OPy OMe	2e OPy EtO <sub>2</sub> C OMe	71%	11	CI	Cl 21	84%
6	1f OPy	2f OPy EtO <sub>2</sub> C	62% (9.3:1)	12 13 14 15	OPy 1m	R	2m R = CO <sub>2</sub> Et 93% 2n R = CO <sub>2</sub> Me 69% 2o R = CO <sub>2</sub> t-Bu 79% 2p R = Ph 0%
7	OMe 1g OPy LCI	OMe 2g OPy EtO <sub>2</sub> C 2h	41%		OPy N 1n	OPy OF	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Reaction conditions: 1 (0.2 mmol),  $Pd(OAc)_2$  (10 mol %), ethyl acrylate (0.4 mmol), and  $O_2$  (1 atm) in MeNO<sub>2</sub>/DMPU (20:1 v/v) at 100 °C. <sup>b</sup>Yields of isolated products after chromatography. Values in parentheses are mono:di ratios. When no ratio is given, a less than 5% yield of diolefinated product was observed.

# Scheme 1. Removal of the Directing Group

TMS as an internal standard. Chemical shifts are given relative to  $\mathrm{CDCl}_3$  (7.26 ppm for  $^1\mathrm{H}$  NMR, 77.16 ppm for  $^{13}\mathrm{C}$  NMR). Data are represented as follows: chemical shift (multiplicity, coupling constant(s) in Hz, integration). Multiplicities are denoted as follows: br = broad, s = singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, q = quartet, m = multiplet. Mass spectroscopy data of the products were collected on an HRMS-TOF instrument using EI ionization.

General Procedure for the Olefination of 2-Phenoxypyridine Derivatives 1 with Acrylates. A mixture of substrate (0.2 mmol),  $Pd(OAc)_2$  (10 mol %), ethyl acrylate (0.4 mmol),  $CH_3NO_2$  (2.0 mL), and DMPU (0.1 mL) in a 50 mL Schlenk tube (purged with  $O_2$ ) was heated at 100 °C for 24 h. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature, and concentrated in vacuo. Purification of the residue by chromatography with petroleum ether and EtOAc as the eluent provided the desired products.

(*E*)-Ethyl 3-(2-(Pyridin-2-yloxy)phenyl)acrylate (2a). 2a was obtained as a yellow oil (74 mg, 68% yield) according to the general procedure.  $^{1}$ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.16 (dd,  $J_{1}$  = 4.8 Hz,  $J_{2}$  = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.89 (d,  $J_{1}$  = 16.0 Hz, 1H), 7.74–7.66 (m, 2H), 7.4 (dt,  $J_{1}$ 

Scheme 2. Proposed Mechanism of Pd(II)-Catalyzed Oxidative Olefination Reaction

= 8.4 Hz,  $J_2$  = 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.23 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.10 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.02–6.97 (m, 2H), 6.47 (d, J = 16.4 Hz, 1H), 4.21 (q, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H), 1.29 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  167.1, 163.6, 153.1, 147.9, 139.8, 139.0, 131.3, 128.2, 127.6, 125.3, 122.5, 120.0, 119.0, 111.9, 60.6, 14.4. HRMS (EI-TOF) m/z: calcd for  $C_{16}H_{15}NO_3$  (M<sup>+</sup>) 269.1052, found 269.1050.

(2*E*,2′*E*)-Diethyl 3,3′-(2-(Pyridin-2-yloxy)-1,3-phenylene)-diacrylate (2a′). 2a′ was obtained as a white solid (6 mg, 5% yield) according to the general procedure.  $^1$ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.04 (ddd,  $J_1$  = 5.0 Hz,  $J_2$  = 2.0 Hz,  $J_3$  = 0.8 Hz, 1H), 7.75 – 7.69, (m, 3H), 7.72 (d, 16.0 Hz, 2H), 7.31 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.06 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 6.98–6.94 (m, 1H), 6.42 (d, J = 16.4 Hz, 1H), 4.17 (q, J = 7.0 Hz, 4H), 1.26 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 6H).

(*E*)-Ethyl 3-(3-Methyl-2-(pyridin-2-yloxy)phenyl)acrylate (2b). 2b was obtained as a white solid (70 mg, 62% yield) according to the general procedure. Mp: 106-107 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 8.10 (dd,  $J_1$  = 5.0 Hz,  $J_2$  =1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.79 (d, J = 16.0 Hz, 1H), 7.69 (dt,  $J_1$  = 7.8 Hz,  $J_2$  = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.53 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.30 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.19 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 6.95-6.93 (m, 2H), 6.42 (d, J = 16.0 Hz, 1H), 4.18 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 2.10 (s, 3H), 1.26 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 167.0, 163.3, 150.9, 147.9, 139.7, 139.3, 133.2, 132.5, 128.4, 125.8, 125.5, 119.9, 118.3, 110.4, 60.5, 16.8, 14.4. HRMS (EI-TOF) m/z: calcd for  $C_{17}H_{17}NO_3$  (M<sup>+</sup>) 283.1208, found 283.1205.

(*E*)-Ethyl 3-(4-Methyl-2-(pyridin-2-yloxy)phenyl)acrylate (2c). 2c was obtained as a white solid (100 mg, 88% yield) according to the general procedure. Mp: 101-103 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 8.17 (d, J=4.0 Hz, 1H), 7.84 (d, J=16.0 Hz, 1H), 7.70 (dt,  $J_1=7.8$  Hz,  $J_2=1.8$  Hz, 1H), 7.56 (d, J=8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.04–6.95 (m, 3H), 6.90 (s, 1H), 6.43 (d, J=16.0 Hz, 1H), 4.19 (q, J=7.1 Hz, 2H), 2.35 (s, 3H), 1.28 (t, J=7.2 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 167.7, 163.6, 152.9, 147.9, 142.2, 139.7, 139.0, 128.0, 126.3, 124.6, 122.9, 118.8, 60.4, 21.6, 14.4. HRMS (EI-TOF) m/z: calcd for  $C_{17}H_{17}NO_3$  (M<sup>+</sup>) 283.1208, found 283.1200.

(*E*)-Ethyl 3-(5-Methyl-2-(pyridin-2-yloxy)phenyl)acrylate (2d). 2d was obtained as a yellow oil (62 mg, 55% yield) according to the general procedure.  $^1$ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.15 (d, J = 3.6 Hz, 1H), 7.84 (d, J = 16.4 Hz, 1H), 7.69 (dt,  $J_1$  = 7.8 Hz,  $J_2$  = 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.47 (s, 1H), 7.21 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.01–6.96 (m, 3H), 6.46 (d, J = 16.0 Hz, 1H), 4.20 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 2.37 (s, 3H), 1.28 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H).  $^{13}$ C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  167.1, 163.8, 150.7, 147.9, 139.7, 139.1, 134.9, 132.2, 127.2, 122.6, 119.6, 118.7, 111.6, 60.5, 21.0, 14.4. HRMS (EI-TOF) m/z: calcd for  $C_{17}$ H<sub>17</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> (M<sup>+</sup>) 283.1208, found 283.1211.

(2E,2'E)-Diethyl 3,3'-(5-Methyl-2-(pyridin-2-yloxy)-1,3-phenylene)diacrylate (2d'). 2d' was obtained as a white solid (8

mg, 5% yield) according to the general procedure.  $^{1}$ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.05 (d, J = 4.0 Hz, 1H), 7.74 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 7.68 (d, J = 16.0 Hz, 2H), 7.51 (s, 2H), 7.06 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 6.95 (t, J = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 6.40 (d, J = 16.0 Hz, 2H), 4.17 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 4H), 2.40 (s, 3H), 1.26 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 6H).

(*E*)-Ethyl 3-(3-Methoxy-2-(pyridin-2-yloxy)phenyl)acrylate (2e). 2e was obtained as a yellow solid (10 mg, 8% yield) according to the general procedure. Mp: 113–115 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.09 (d, J = 4.0 Hz, 1H), 7.84 (d, J = 16.4 Hz, 1H), 7.67 (m, 1H), 7.28 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.22 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.01 (t, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 6.95 (t, J = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 6.45 (d, J = 16.0 Hz, 1H), 4.19 (q, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 3.72 (s, 3H), 1.28 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 167.0, 163.5, 152.6, 147.6, 141.7, 139.5, 138.9, 129.3, 126.0, 120.4, 119.3, 118.4, 114.2, 110.6, 60.6, 56.2, 14.4. HRMS (EITOF) m/z: calcd for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>17</sub>NO<sub>4</sub> (M<sup>+</sup>) 299.1158, found 299.1161.

(*E*)-Ethyl 3-(4-Methoxy-2-(pyridin-2-yloxy)phenyl)acrylate (2*f*). 2*f* was obtained as a yellow oil (85 mg, 71%) according to the general procedure.  $^{1}$ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.18 (d, J = 3.6 Hz, 1H), 7.81 (d, J = 16.0 Hz, 1H), 7.72 (dt,  $J_1$  = 7.8 Hz,  $J_2$  = 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.61 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 7.03–6.97 (m, 2H), 6.79 (dd,  $J_1$  = 8.8 Hz,  $J_2$  = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 6.61 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 6.35 (d, J = 16.0 Hz, 1H), 4.19 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 3.79 (s, 3H), 1.27 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H).  $^{13}$ C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  167.4, 163.4, 162.2, 154.3, 148.0, 139.8, 138.8, 129.2, 120.2, 119.0, 117.3, 111.9, 111.8, 107.7, 60.4, 55.7, 14.4. HRMS (EI-TOF) m/z: calcd for  $C_{17}$ H<sub>17</sub>NO<sub>4</sub> (M<sup>+</sup>) 299.1158, found 299.1155.

(*E*)-Ethyl 3-(5-Methoxy-2-(pyridin-2-yloxy)phenyl)acrylate (2g). 2g was obtained as a yellow oil (57 mg, 56% yield) according to the general procedure.  $^1$ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.14 (d, J = 4.0 Hz, 1H), 7.81 (d, J = 16.4 Hz, 1H), 7.68 (dt,  $J_1$  = 7.6 Hz,  $J_2$  = 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.14 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 7.05 (d, J = 9.2 Hz, 1H), 6.98–6.94 (m, 3H), 6.44 (d, J = 16.0 Hz, 1H), 4.20 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 3.83 (s, 3H), 1.28 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H).  $^{13}$ C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  166.9, 164.0, 156.7, 147.8, 146.5, 139.7, 138.9, 128.3, 124.0, 120.0, 118.6, 117.7, 111.7, 111.4, 60.6, 55.7, 14.4. HRMS (EI-TOF) m/z: calcd for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>17</sub>NO<sub>4</sub> (M<sup>+</sup>) 299.1158, found 299.1157.

(2*E*,2'*E*)-Diethyl 3,3'-(5-Methoxy-2-(pyridin-2-yloxy)-1,3-phenylene)diacrylate (2*g*'). 2*g*' was obtained as a yellow solid (10 mg, 6%) according to the general procedure.  $^{1}$ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.05 (d, J = 4.0 Hz, 1H), 7.72 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.68 (d, J = 16.0 Hz, 2H), 7.21 (s, 2H), 7.05 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 6.95 (t, J = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 6.41 (d, J = 16.0 Hz, 2H), 4.17 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 4H), 3.87 (s, 3H), 1.26 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 6H).

(*E*)-Ethyl 3-(4-Chloro-2-(pyridin-2-yloxy)phenyl)acrylate (2h). 2h was obtained as a yellow solid (50 mg, 41% yield) according to the general procedure. Mp: 75–77 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 8.18 (d, J = 3.6 Hz, 1H), 7.82 (d, J = 16.0 Hz, 1H), 7.75 (dt,  $J_1 = 7.8$  Hz,  $J_2 = 1.8$  Hz, 1H), 7.59 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.19 (dd,  $J_1 = 8.4$  Hz,  $J_2 = 1.6$  Hz, 1H), 7.11 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.07–7.01 (m, 2H), 6.45 (d, J = 16.0 Hz, 1H), 4.21 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 1.29 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 166.9, 162.9, 153.4, 147.9, 140.0, 137.9, 136.5, 128.9, 126.0, 122.7, 120.2, 119.5, 112.1, 60.7, 14.4. HRMS (EI-TOF) m/z: calcd for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>14</sub>ClNO<sub>3</sub> (M<sup>+</sup>) 303.0662, found 303.0661.

(*E*)-Ethyl 3-(5-Chloro-2-(pyridin-2-yloxy)phenyl)acrylate (2i). 2i was obtained as a yellow oil (60 mg, 49% yield) according to the general procedure.  $^{1}$ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.14 (d, J = 4.4 Hz, 1H), 7.79 (d, J = 16.0 Hz, 1H), 7.71 (dt, J<sub>1</sub> = 7.8 Hz, J<sub>2</sub> = 1.4 Hz, 1H), 7.63 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.35 (dd, J<sub>1</sub> = 8.8 Hz, J<sub>2</sub> = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 7.06 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 7.02 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 6.46 (d, J = 16.0 Hz, 1H), 4.21 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 1.28 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H).  $^{13}$ C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  166.6, 163.2, 151.3, 147.8, 139.9, 137.6, 131.3, 130.6, 129.1, 127.7, 124.1, 121.0, 119.2, 111.9, 60.7, 14.3. HRMS (EI-TOF) m/z: calcd for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>14</sub>ClNO<sub>3</sub> (M<sup>+</sup>) 303.0662, found 303.0661.

(2*E*,2'*E*)-Diethyl 3,3'-(S-Chloro-2-(pyridin-2-yloxy)-1,3-phenylene)diacrylate (2i'). 2i' was obtained as a white solid (11 mg, 7% yield) according to the general procedure.  $^{1}$ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.03 (d, J = 4.0 Hz, 1H), 7.74 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.65 (s, 2H), 7.63 (d, J = 16.8 Hz, 2H), 7.10 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 6.99

(t, J = 5.8 Hz, 1H), 6.40 (d, J = 16.0 Hz, 2H), 4.18 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 4H), 1.26 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 6H).

(*E*)-Ethyl 3-(2-(Pyridin-2-yloxy)-5-(trifluoromethoxy)phenyl)-acrylate (2j). 2j was obtained as a white solid (37 mg, 25% yield) according to the general procedure. Mp: 42–44 °C.  $^{1}$ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 8.15 (d, J = 3.6 Hz, 1H), 7.82 (d, J = 16.0 Hz, 1H), 7.75 (dt,  $J_1$  = 7.6 Hz,  $J_2$  = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.50 (d, J = 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.26–7.23 (m, 1H), 7.14 (d, J = 9.2 Hz, 1H), 7.06–7.02 (m, 2H), 6.46 (d, J = 16.0 Hz, 1H), 4.21 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 1.29 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H).  $^{13}$ C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 166.6, 163.1, 151.1, 147.8, 145.9, 140.0, 137.6, 128.9, 123.9, 123.8, 121.3, 120.6 (q,  $J_{C-F}$  = 256.0 Hz), 120.3, 119.4, 112.0, 60.8, 14.4. HRMS (EI-TOF) m/z: calcd for  $C_{17}H_{14}F_3NO_4$  (M<sup>+</sup>) 353.0875, found 353.0868.

(*E*)-Ethyl 3-(2,4-Dimethyl-6-(pyridin-2-yloxy)phenyl)acrylate (2k). 2k was obtained as a yellow oil (45 mg, 38% yield) according to the general procedure.  $^1$ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.18 (d, J = 4.8 Hz, 1H), 7.76 (d, J = 16.4 Hz, 1H), 7.68 (t, J = 5.0 Hz, 1H), 6.98 (t, J = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 6.91 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 6.90 (s, 1H), 6.74 (s, 1H), 6.42 (d, J = 16.4 Hz, 1H), 4.18 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 2.43 (s, 3H), 2,29 (s, 3H), 1.27 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H).  $^{13}$ C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  167.6, 163.4, 153.2, 148.0, 140.5, 139.6, 139.5, 138.4, 128.6, 124.0, 122.6, 120.8, 118.6, 111.8, 60.4, 21.4, 21.1, 14.4. HRMS (EI-TOF) m/z: calcd for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>19</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> (M<sup>+</sup>) 297.1365, found 297.1359.

(*E*)-Ethyl 3-(4-Chloro-1-(pyridin-2-yloxy)naphthalen-2-yl)-acrylate (2l). 2l was obtained as a white solid (115 mg, 84% yield) according to the general procedure. Mp: 161-163 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 8.25 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 8.02 (d, J = 5.2 Hz, 1H), 7.90 (d, J = 16.4 Hz, 1H), 7.86 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.85 (s, 1H), 7.74 (dt,  $J_1 = 7.8$  Hz,  $J_2 = 1.8$  Hz, 1H), 7.62 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.48 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.10 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 6.98 (t, J = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 6.51 (d, J = 16.0 Hz, 1H), 4.21 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 1.29 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 166.7, 164.2, 148.0, 147.9, 140.1, 137.6, 132.7, 129.8, 129.3, 128.5, 127.8, 125.2, 124.8, 123.8, 123.4, 120.7, 118.8, 110.5, 60.7, 14.4. HRMS (EI-TOF) m/z: calcd for C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>16</sub>ClNO<sub>3</sub> (M<sup>+</sup>) 353.0819, found 353.0817.

(*E*)-Ethyl 3-(1-(Pyridin-2-yloxy)naphthalen-2-yl)acrylate (2*m*). 2*m* was obtained as a white solid (119 mg, 93% yield) according to the general procedure. Mp: 143–145 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.06 (d, J = 4.0 Hz, 1H), 8.00 (d, J = 16.0 Hz, 1H), 7.85 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.75 (s, 2H), 7.72 (dt, J<sub>1</sub> = 7.8 Hz, J<sub>2</sub> = 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.50 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.42 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.03 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 6.96 (t, J = 5.4 Hz, 1H), 6.54 (d, J = 16.0 Hz, 1H), 4.21 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 1.29 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  167.0, 164.4, 148.9, 148.0, 139.9, 138.7, 135.8, 128.2, 128.1, 127.6, 127.0, 126.1, 124.3, 123.3, 119.9, 118.6, 110.3, 60.6, 14.4. HRMS (EITOF) m/z: calcd for C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>17</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> (M<sup>+</sup>) 319.1208, found 319.1201.

(*E*)-Methyl 3-(1-(Pyridin-2-yloxy)naphthalen-2-yl)acrylate (2n). 2n was obtained as a white solid (85 mg, 69% yield) according to the general procedure. Mp: 154–155 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 8.05 (d, J = 4.8 Hz, 1H), 8.01 (d, J = 16.4 Hz, 1H), 7.84 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 7.75–7.69 (m, 3H), 7.50 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.42 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.03 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 6.96 (t, J = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 6.55 (d, J = 16.4 Hz, 1H), 3.75 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 167.4, 164.4, 149.0, 148.0, 139.9, 138.9, 135.8, 128.2, 128.1, 127.6, 127.0, 126.2, 124.2, 123.3, 119.4, 118.6, 110.3, 51.8. HRMS (EI-TOF) m/z: calcd for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>15</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> (M<sup>+</sup>) 305.1052, found 305.1055.

(*E*)-tert-Butyl 3-(1-(Pyridin-2-yloxy)naphthalen-2-yl)acrylate (20). 20 was obtained as a white solid (110 mg, 79% yield) according to the general procedure. Mp: 119–121 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 8.06 (d, J = 3.6 Hz, 1H), 7.91 (d, J = 16.0 Hz, 1H), 7.84 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.77–7.69 (m, 3H), 7.49 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.41 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.03 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 6.96 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 1H), 6.47 (d, J = 16.0 Hz, 1H), 1.48 (s, 9H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 166.3, 164.4, 148.8, 148.1, 139.9, 137.6, 135.7, 128.2, 127.4, 126.9, 126.1, 124.4, 123.3, 121.7, 118.5, 110.4, 80.6, 28.3. HRMS (EI-TOF) m/z: calcd for  $C_{22}H_{21}NO_3$  (M<sup>+</sup>) 347.1521, found 347.1521.

(E)-Ethyl 3-(2-Hydroxy-4-methylphenyl)acrylate (3c). 2c (141 mg, 0.5 mmol) was dissolved in toluene (15 mL), and MeOTf was added under  $N_2$ . The reaction mixture was stirred under  $N_2$  at 100 °C for 2 h. The reaction mixture was allowed to cool to ambient

temperature. A white solid was obtained when the solvent was evaporated. The solid was dissolved in dry ethanol (5.0 mL) and was added under  $\rm N_2$  to a solution of Na (294 mg, 12 mmol) in dry ethanol (15 mL). The reaction mixture was heated at 80 °C for 15 min. The reaction mixture was allowed to cool to ambient temperature, and the solvent was evaporated in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (petroleum ether/EtOAc 8:1) to yield 3c (70 mg, 68%) as a white solid. Mp: 88–90 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.96 (d, J = 16.4 Hz, 1H), 7.35 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 6.73 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 1H), 6.65 (s, 1H), 6.56 (d, J = 16.4 Hz, 1H), 6.07 (s, 1H), 4.27 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 2.31 (s, 3H), 1.34 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H).  $^{13}\rm{C}$  NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  168.3, 155.2, 142.4, 140.3, 129.3, 122.1, 119.2, 117.8, 117.1, 60.6, 21.5, 14.5. HRMS (EI-TOF) m/z: calcd for  $\rm{C_{12}H_{14}O_3}$  ( $\rm{M}^+$ ) 206.0943, found 206.0943.

**Ethyl 3-(2-Hydroxy-4-methylphenyl)propanoate (4c).** To a solution of 3c (41.3 mg, 0.2 mmol) in EtOAc was added 10% Pd/C (4.1 mg), and the reaction mixture was stirred under a hydrogen atmosphere for 6 h. The palladium catalyst was removed by filtration, and the solvent was evaporated in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (petroleum ether/EtOAc 10:1) to yield 4c (39 mg, 95%) as a yellow oil. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 7.14 (s, 1H), 6.96 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 6.71 (s, 1H), 6.98 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 4.14 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 2.86 (t, J = 6.4 Hz, 2H), 2.68 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 2H), 2.27 (s, 1H), 1.23 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 174.9, 153.3, 137.1, 129.5, 123.4, 120.7, 117.0, 60.4, 34.6, 23.4, 20.1, 13.2. HRMS (EI-TOF) m/z: calcd for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>16</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (M<sup>+</sup>) 208.1099, found 208.1097.

## ASSOCIATED CONTENT

# **S** Supporting Information

Experimental details and spectral data for all new compounds. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

### AUTHOR INFORMATION

#### **Corresponding Author**

\*E-mail: bfshi@zju.edu.cn.

#### Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Financial support from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (21002087, 21272206), the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (2010QNA3033), the Zhejiang Provincial NSFC (Z12B02000), the Qianjiang Project (2013R10033), and the Specialized Research Fund for the Doctoral Program of Higher Education (20110101110005) is gratefully acknowledged.

# REFERENCES

(1) For selected reviews of catalytic C-H bond functionalization, see: (a) Engle, K. M.; Mei, T.-S.; Wasa, M.; Yu, J.-Q. Acc. Chem. Res. 2012, 45, 788. (b) Arockiam, P. B.; Bruneau, C.; Dixneuf, P. H. Chem. Rev. 2012, 112, 5879. (c) Ackermann, L. Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 1315. (d) Sun, C. L.; Li, B. J.; Shi, Z. J. Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 1293. (e) Colby, D. A.; Bergman, R. G.; Ellman, J. A. Chem. Rev. 2010, 110, 624. (f) Kulkarni, A. A.; Daugulis, O. Synthesis 2009, 4087.

(2) Anastas, P. T.; Eghbali, N. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2010, 39, 301.

(3) For recent reviews of reactions using molecular oxygen as an oxidant, see: (a) Shi, Z.; Zhang, C.; Tang, C.; Jiao, N. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2012, 41, 3381. (b) Wu, W.; Jiang, H. Acc. Chem. Res. 2012, 45, 1736. (c) Piera, J.; Bäckvall, J.-E. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 3506. (d) Beccalli, E. M.; Broggini, G.; Martinelli, M.; Sottocornola, S. Chem. Rev. 2007, 107, 5318. (e) Sigman, M. S.; Jensen, D. R. Acc. Chem. Res. 2006, 39, 221.

- (4) For selected recent examples of reactions using molecular oxygen as an oxidant, see: (a) Steinhoff, B. A.; Guzei, I. A.; Stahl, S. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 11268. (b) Ueda, S.; Nagasawa, H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 6411. (c) Zhang, Y. H.; Yu, J.-Q. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 14654. (d) Izawa, Y.; Pun, D.; Stahl, S. S. Science 2011, 333, 209. (e) Liu, Q.; Wu, P.; Yang, Y.; Zeng, Z.; Liu, J.; Yi, H.; Lei, A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 4666. (f) Zhang, C.; Feng, P.; Jiao, N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 15257. (g) Zhang, G.; Yang, L.; Wang, Y.; Xie, Y.; Huang, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 8850. (h) Nishino, M.; Hirano, K.; Satoh, T.; Miura, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 4457. (5) (a) Moritani, I.; Fujiwara, Y. Tetrahedron Lett. 1967, 8, 1119. (b) Fujiwara, Y.; Moritani, I.; Danno, S.; Asano, R.; Teranishi, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1969, 91, 7166.
- (6) For selected reviews, see: (a) Chen, X.; Engle, K. M.; Wang, D.-H.; Yu, J.-Q. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 5094. (b) Yeung, C. S.; Dong, V. M. Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 1215. (c) Kuhl, N.; Hopkinson, M. N.; Wencel-Delord, J.; Glorius, F. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 10236. (d) McMurray, L.; O'Hara, F.; Gaunt, M. J. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2011, 40, 1885. (e) Kozhushkov, S. I.; Ackermann, L. Chem. Sci. 2013, 4, 886.
- (7) For recent examples of the use of removable directing groups, see: (a) Zhang, L.-Q.; Yang, S.; Huang, X.; You, J.; Song, F. Chem. Commun. 2013, 49, 8830. (b) Sarkar, D.; Melkonyan, F. S.; Gulevich, A. V.; Gevorgyan, V. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 10800. (c) Chen, F.-J.; Zhao, S.; Hu, F.; Chen, K.; Zhang, Q.; Zhang, S.-Q.; Shi, B.-F. Chem. Sci. 2013, 4, 4187. (d) Chen, K.; Hu, F.; Zhang, S.-Q.; Shi, B.-F. Chem. Sci. 2013, 4, 3906. (e) Shabashov, D.; Daugulis, O. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 3965. (f) García-Rubia, A.; Arrayás, R. G.; Carretero, J. C. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 6511. (g) Huang, C.; Gevorgyan, V. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 10844. For selected reviews of the use of removable directing groups, see: (h) Wang, C.; Huang, Y. Synlett 2013, 24, 145. (i) Rousseau, G.; Breit, B. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 2450.
- (8) Tyman, J. H. P. Synthetic and Natural Phenols; Elsevier: New York, 1996.
- (9) (a) Dai, H.-X.; Li, G.; Zhang, X.-G.; Stepan, A. F.; Yu, J.-Q. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 7567. (b) Xiao, B.; Fu, Y.; Xu, J.; Gong, T.-J.; Dai, J.-J.; Yi, J.; Liu, L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 468. (c) Gong, T.-J.; Xiao, B.; Liu, Z.-J.; Wan, J.; Luo, D.-F.; Fu, Y.; Liu, L. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 3235. (d) Feng, C.; Loh, T.-P. Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 10458. (e) Ma, W.; Ackermann, L. Chem.—Eur. J. 2013, 19, 13925. (f) Ackermann, L.; Diers, E.; Manvar, A. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 1154. (g) Chu, J.-H.; Lin, P.-S.; Wu, M.-J. Organometallic 2010, 29, 4058. (h) Gu, S.; Chen, C.; Chen, W. J. Org. Chem. 2009, 74, 7203.
- (10) Cong, X.; You, J.; Gao, G.; Lan, J. Chem. Commun. 2013, 49, 662.
- (11) Zhang, Q.; Chen, K.; Rao, W.-H.; Zhang, Y.; Chen, F.-J.; Shi, B.-F. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 13588.